Adverse impact of polyphasic sleep patterns in humans: Report of the National Sleep Foundation sleep timing and variability consensus panel
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ABSTRACT

Polyphasic sleep is the practice of distributing multiple short sleep episodes across the 24-hour day rather than having one major and possibly a minor ("nap") sleep episode each day. While the prevalence of polyphasic sleep is unknown, anecdotal reports suggest attempts to follow this practice are common, particularly among young adults. Polyphasic-sleep advocates claim to thrive on as little as 2 hours of total sleep per day. However, significant concerns have been raised that polyphasic sleep schedules can result in health and safety consequences. We reviewed the literature to identify the impact of polyphasic sleep schedules (excluding nap or siesta schedules) on health, safety, and performance outcomes. Of 40,672 potentially relevant publications, with 2,023 selected for full-text review, 22 relevant papers were retained. We found no evidence supporting benefits from following polyphasic sleep schedules. Based on the current evidence, the consensus opinion is that polyphasic sleep schedules, and the sleep deficiency inherent in those schedules, are associated with a variety of adverse physical health, mental health, and performance outcomes. Striving to adopt a schedule that significantly reduces the amount of sleep per 24 hours and/or fragments sleep into multiple episodes throughout the 24-hour day is not recommended.

© 2021 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of National Sleep Foundation. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)

Introduction

Individuals have long sought to optimize their sleep—minimizing sleep duration while preserving daytime alertness. Many wish to slip rapidly into a restful sleep that is free of nighttime awakenings. Since the role of sleep in memory consolidation and performance was discovered,\textsuperscript{1,2} some have sought to use sleep to improve performance.\textsuperscript{3} Others view sleep as more of a nuisance than a necessity, and want to minimize the time spent sleeping in order to increase the time available for productive wakefulness. Systematic efforts to do so date back more than a century, when it was recognized that the deepest (and presumably the most restorative) sleep occurs in the first hours of sleep, it was suggested that sleep be broken up into multiple, short sleep episodes, in an attempt to enhance the
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Adequate sleep is necessary for effective daytime functioning and optimal health, whereas insufficient sleep results in impaired performance and adverse health consequences.13–17 Adequate sleep can be obtained by various strategies. Historical evidence suggests that prior to the advent of artificial lighting, human sleep may have been split into two or more nighttime sleep episodes, particularly during seasons with a short photoperiod (i.e., when the duration of nighttime darkness far exceeds the time people are able to sleep each night).18 Actigraphic studies of pre-industrial societies living in regions without large seasonal changes in night length find that sleep is consolidated at night, even when it is dark for 11–12 hours.19–21 Farmers in hotter climates worked late at night and started early in the morning, thereby shortening their sleep at night, for which they compensated with an extended afternoon siesta.22 Although the siesta culture is widespread in many mammals, birds, and in human infants, in all such cases these short sleep episodes end spontaneously and are not purposefully curtailed. Significant concerns have been raised about the potential health and safety consequences of such fragmented and shortened sleep schedules.12

Biology of human sleep

Adequate sleep is necessary for effective daytime functioning and optimal health, whereas insufficient sleep results in impaired performance and adverse health consequences.13–17 Adequate sleep can be obtained by various strategies. Historical evidence suggests that prior to the advent of artificial lighting, human sleep may have been split into two or more nighttime sleep episodes, particularly during seasons with a short photoperiod (i.e., when the duration of nighttime darkness far exceeds the time people are able to sleep each night).18 Actigraphic studies of pre-industrial societies living in regions without large seasonal changes in night length find that sleep is consolidated at night, even when it is dark for 11–12 hours.19–21 Farmers in hotter climates worked late at night and started early in the morning, thereby shortening their sleep at night, for which they compensated with an extended afternoon siesta.22 Although the siesta culture has receded in recent decades associated with industrial and agricultural changes, one-third of United States adults still report taking a nap during the daytime.23 A single nighttime episode of 6–9 hours of relatively consolidated sleep has become the normative pattern in the industrialized world.24 Sleep behavior may be highly diverse among individuals, cultures and different stages of industrialization; all of these patterns increase average sleep duration rather than reducing it, which is a central aim of modern polyphasic sleep strategies discussed below.

The daily cycle of sleep and wakefulness is characterized by a robust circadian variation in both sleep and wake propensity (i.e., the probability of falling asleep or awakening, respectively) that is driven by a circadian pacemaker located in the suprachiasmatic nucleus (SCN) of the hypothalamus.25,26 Synchronization of that pacemaker to the 24-hour day is mediated by retinal light exposure sensed by intrinsically-photosensitive retinal ganglion cells (ipRGCs) that contain the photopigment melanopsin,27 and then conveyed from the ipRGCs to the SCN via the monosynaptic retinohypothalamic tract.28 When synchronized to the 24-hour solar day-night cycle, the central circadian pacemaker in humans promotes the strongest circadian drive for sleep to occur just before dawn, near the end of the habitual nocturnal sleep episode, and the strongest circadian drive for wakefulness to occur just before dusk.29 The circadian variation in sleep-wake propensity interacts non-linearly with the homeostatic sleep-wake regulatory process, which is characterized by a buildup in sleep propensity during wakefulness and a non-linear dissipation of sleep propensity during sleep, with greater deep, slow-wave sleep in the beginning of the sleep episode.11,30,31 The biological drive for sleep is consequently greatest at night and lowest during the daytime in humans, with a secondary peak of sleep propensity in the mid-afternoon.29,32 Scheduling sleep during the daytime, therefore, may result in less actual sleep (e.g., from increased time to fall asleep and more time spent awake) during the sleep episode (i.e., lower sleep efficiency), although sleep deficiency may raise sleep efficiency even during times of low circadian drive for sleep.11,33 Furthermore, an endogenous circadian rhythm of REM sleep propensity promotes REM sleep in the early morning hours.34 Therefore, allocating an insufficient amount of time for sleep and then attempting to distribute that limited amount of time available for sleep across the day and night, both of which are features of the most popular polyphasic sleep schedules (as described below), will result in less sleep obtained because of the influence of circadian rhythmicity on sleep, and may disproportionately deprive individuals of REM sleep.

Description of polyphasic sleep

In polyphasic or segmented sleep schedules, there are multiple sleep episodes across the 24-hour day, typically with a core or anchor sleep during the night and shorter episodes during the day.5 Multiple different polyphasic sleep schedules have been advocated, encompassing a variety of sleep patterns with variation in length of core sleep and the number of daytime sleep episodes. Polyphasic sleep schedules are fundamentally different from the habitual night plus daytime nap or siesta practiced in many countries around the world, which are taken to increase the total amount of sleep obtained. Proponents claim that for the most optimal (“Uberman”), polyphasic sleep schedule, adherants should strive to achieve six 20-minute sleep episodes spaced evenly across the 24-hour day for a total targeted sleep duration of 2 hours per 24 hours.5 Those who are unable to attain this goal are urged to adopt the Everyman polyphasic sleep schedule, which incorporates a 3-hour nighttime core sleep with three 20-minute daytime sleep episodes, designed to achieve a total targeted sleep duration of 4 hours per 24 hours. Another example is the Triphasic sleep schedule: sleep episodes after dusk, before dawn, and in the afternoon for a total of 4-5 h per 24 hours.

Claimed benefits of polyphasic sleep

Polyphasic sleep schedules, advocated by groups like the Polyphasic Sleep Society (PSS), are claimed to improve sleep density and stability (though the definitions of these terms are unclear) and to decrease the overall time required to be spent asleep for optimal performance.5 The PSS and other proponents of polyphasic sleep claim that the increased discretionary wake time available as a result of reduced sleep will result in improved productivity.5 These groups further claim that such schedules will help you live longer, improve your memory and mood, and dream more frequently.5

Purpose

As part of a larger systematic review on the impact of sleep timing on health and safety, we specifically reviewed the literature to
identify the impact of polyphasic sleep on sleep, health, safety, or performance outcomes.

Methods

We searched the PubMed database for original research and systematic literature reviews in the English language. We included MeSH terms and free text terms found in the Title or Abstract related to sleep timing (eg, sleep timing variability, napping, sleep patterns) and at least one sleep, health, safety, or performance outcome (eg, metabolism, cardiovascular, mental health). We excluded studies of Obstructive Sleep Apnea, Insomnia, Restless Legs Syndrome, and Narcolepsy (Appendix A). The search was executed on May 20, 2020. There were no publication date restrictions. The systematic review protocol for this question was not registered in advance.

The search returned 40,672 potentially relevant publications. A team of postdoctoral fellows and junior faculty members reviewed titles and abstracts for inclusion or exclusion. Due to the volume of potentially relevant publications, we did not require multiple raters to evaluate each potential paper. After review of titles and abstracts, 2,023 articles remained for full-text review in the larger systematic review. We defined polyphasic sleep as the practice of deliberately distributing restricted sleep opportunities across multiple episodes over the 24-hour day, including episodes with similar durations of sleep opportunity, or including a core or anchor sleep opportunities during the night and shorter episodes during the day. We did not include studies of afternoon naps or siestas, since they do not resemble the common schedules advocated by polyphasic sleep enthusiasts, and represent supplemental sleep rather than restricted sleep that is deliberately fragmented, and rationed into strictly limited bouts. We did include studies of biphasic sleep where sleep was divided during the night into two distinct sleep episodes. This intentional division of sleep during the night more closely approximates the sleep schedule that is deliberately fragmented, and rationed into strictly limited bouts. We did not include studies of polyphasic sleep schedules where sleep opportunity every 90 minutes, or 60 minutes of sleep every 180 minutes, etc. (ie, an ultrashort forced desynchrony protocol) to split nighttime sleep, have repeatedly shown extended sleep latencies, prominent sleep fragmentation, and marked reductions in REM sleep compared to consolidated sleep.

Findings

Lack of evidence of the claimed benefits of polyphasic sleep on sleep

By design, polyphasic sleep schedules decrease total sleep time, resulting in acute and chronic sleep deficiency. Though the definitions used by polyphasic sleep advocates of “sleep density” and “sleep stability” are unclear, the evidence does not support any improvement in sleep architecture, duration, or quality from the polyphasic sleep schedule. We identified six studies that specifically compared a polyphasic or biphasic sleep schedule to a consolidated (normal) sleep schedule. Roach et al. compared participants following a consolidated sleep schedule with one sleep-wake cycle every 28 hours to a biphasic sleep schedule with a sleep-wake cycle every 14 hours. Those following the biphasic sleep schedule reported lower sleep quality, had longer sleep onset latencies, more arousals, and spent more time in lighter stages of sleep. A prospective crossover study by Weitzman et al. compared a 3-hour polyphasic schedule (opportunity to sleep for 1 hour every 3 hours) to an 8-hour consolidated sleep schedule. Participants on the 3-hour polyphasic schedule achieved sleep for 56% of the sleep opportunities, compared to 90% during the consolidated schedule and had reductions in deep Slow Wave Sleep and REM sleep. Other studies assigning participants to polyphasic or biphasic sleep schedules, ranging from 30 minutes of sleep opportunity every 90 minutes, or 60 minutes of sleep every 180 minutes, etc. (ie, an ultrashort forced desynchrony protocol) to split nighttime sleep, have repeatedly shown extended sleep latencies, prominent sleep fragmentation, and marked reductions in REM sleep compared to consolidated sleep.

Lack of evidence of the claimed benefits of polyphasic sleep on memory, mood, and performance

No studies demonstrated an improvement in memory retention on a polyphasic sleep schedule. Monks following a split-sleep schedule with a nocturnal awakening for prayer during the night reported more complaints of memory lapses than controls who followed a consolidated sleep schedule. Sailors assigned to a schedule of 60 minutes sleep and 160 minutes wake for 40 hours performed worse on word recall tests than sailors who were completely sleep deprived over the 40-hour study interval.

Similarly, we identified no peer-reviewed published evidence that supported improvements in mood while subjects were on polyphasic sleep schedules. Rather, polyphasic sleep schedules were associated with significant deterioration in mood in multiple studies, with depression ratings increasing with duration of exposure to the polyphasic sleep schedules. Irritability and emotional discomfort are also associated with polyphasic sleep schedules.

Evidence supporting improved productivity with polyphasic sleep schedules is scant. Stampi reported on the outcomes from three extended yacht races, including one transatlantic race. Sailors with the shortest mean sleep episodes (20-60 minutes) placed highest in the race. This evaluation, however, did not differentiate between wakefulness and performance improvement; increased wakefulness by necessity increased the opportunity to perform a task requiring wakefulness (navigation), while actual performance of the navigation task was not assessed. Other studies that incorporated more intensive monitoring report worse performance on biphasic or polyphasic sleep schedules in core domains of cognitive function, including visual vigilance, steadiness of hand, tapping speed, psychomotor performance, and memory recall, as well as steeper time-on-task performance deterioration. Increase in sleepiness is also commonly reported on these schedules even when total sleep time is greater on the polyphasic sleep regimen, possibly as a consequence of circadian disruption.

Lack of evidence of the claimed benefits of polyphasic sleep on health

There are no controlled studies that support the claimed health benefits of polyphasic sleep. Rather, the limited existing literature currently testing these types of polyphasic sleep schedules demonstrates their adverse health effects. Polyphasic sleep schedules deliberately promote sleep restriction, resulting in total sleep times that are typically far less than required for optimal health. Polyphasic schedules cause sleep deficiency, sleep at adverse circadian phases,
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Sample size</th>
<th>Age (y)</th>
<th>Exposure</th>
<th>Outcome(s)</th>
<th>Major findings</th>
<th>Bias rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1935 Husband⁹⁹</td>
<td>Prospective crossover study</td>
<td>n = 1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>One month of 8 h continuous sleep followed by one month of 6 h segmented sleep (2300-0200 h, 0500-0800 h).</td>
<td>Eleven mental and motor tasks related to speed, accuracy, bodily sway, and intelligence.</td>
<td>3 of the 11 tests showed deterioration; diminished steadiness of hand, tapping speed, and bodily sway.</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1974 Hartley⁹⁵</td>
<td>Prospective laboratory study</td>
<td>n = 36</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5-day experiment with 3 groups: a) 8 h continuous sleep b) 4 h continuous sleep c) 4 h sleep in 80 min episodes distributed across 24 h.</td>
<td>70 min visual vigilance test (Correct choices and false alarms).</td>
<td>80-min interval group was less impaired compared to 4-h continuous group. 80-min group had worst time-on-task deterioration. 80-min false alarm rate was comparable to 8-h group (more cautious). Both 4-h groups were impaired relative to 8-h group.</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1974 Weitzman et al.⁹⁰</td>
<td>Prospective crossover study</td>
<td>n = 7</td>
<td>23-40</td>
<td>a) 1 week baseline b) Up to 10 day exposure: 3 h sleep-wake schedule (8 £ 1 h sleep times across 24 h) c) 7 days of nocturnal 8-h sleep time</td>
<td>Characterization of sleep stages. Sequential plasma. Rectal temperature.</td>
<td>Participants slept for 56% of sleep opportunities, compared to 90% of baseline intervals. REM sleep was significantly diminished. Sleep onset REM sleep occurred more often in the last 5 days of ultradian protocol. NREM sleep Stages 3 and 4 reached 75% of baseline. During the last 3 days, the % of total sleep time for Stages 3 and 4 was same as baseline. Irritability and emotional discomfort were common. Circadian patterns of total sleep time, cortisol and body temperature were persistent. 3 h cortisol cycle entrained to sleep-wake cycle. Mean 24 h output of Growth Hormone was not changed but there was no evening peak. Recovery sleep patterns suggested that sleep deprivation had occurred.</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1975 Carskadon &amp; Dement⁹⁰</td>
<td>Prospective laboratory study</td>
<td>n = 5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>One-week protocol of 90-min schedule comprised of 30 min darkness for sleep and 60 min wakefulness following one week of regular 8 h sleep each night. *One additional subject completed with 15 min sleep and 75 min wakefulness.</td>
<td>Characterization of sleep stages.</td>
<td>Total sleep time decreased throughout the experiment, followed by a doubling of total sleep time on recovery day 1 compared to baseline. Sleep-onset REM sleep episodes were common (79 of 110 instances of REM sleep). REM sleep rarely occurred during two consecutive sleep episodes (6 of 110 intervals). Total sleep time and amount of REM sleep negatively correlated with circadian temperature pattern. Compared to baseline, the nap group had a reduction in the percentage of time asleep, higher percentages of Stage 1 and Stage 4 sleep, and lower percentages of Stage 2 and REM sleep.</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1975 Moses et al.⁹¹</td>
<td>Prospective laboratory between-subjects study</td>
<td>n = 8 nap n = 10 exercise</td>
<td>18-22</td>
<td>2 groups: nap vs exercise. After baseline sleep (2200-0600 h), 40 h period of 10 epochs of 220 min with 1 h nap vs stationary bicycle exercise.</td>
<td>Oral temperature, total sleep time, sleep stage characterization.</td>
<td>Total sleep time and amount of REM sleep negatively correlated with circadian temperature pattern. Compared to baseline, the nap group had a reduction in the percentage of time asleep, higher percentages of Stage 1 and Stage 4 sleep, and lower percentages of Stage 2 and REM sleep.</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Study design</td>
<td>Sample size</td>
<td>Age (y)</td>
<td>Exposure</td>
<td>Outcome(s)</td>
<td>Major findings</td>
<td>Bias rating</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1976 Lubin et al.</td>
<td>Prospective laboratory study</td>
<td>n = 40</td>
<td>18-22</td>
<td>60 min sleep, 160 min wake for 40 h (n = 10). Compared with sleep deprivation groups completing 60 min exercise or non-sleep bedrest.</td>
<td>Wilkinson Auditory Vigilance Test, Wilkinson Addition Test, Williams Word Memory Test, Stanford Sleepiness Scale</td>
<td>Participants in the 60 min sleep arm performed worse on the word memory test and the Stanford Sleepiness Scale than participants who were completely sleep deprived. The 60-min sleep arm performed better than the complete sleep deprivation arm on the addition test. Participants were able to sleep for 61% of the allotted sleep time.</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1977 Carskadon &amp; Dement</td>
<td>Prospective laboratory study</td>
<td>n = 10</td>
<td>17-21</td>
<td>90 min sleep-wake schedule (30 min sleep, 60 min play) beginning at midnight, vs. the same schedule beginning at 0900 h.</td>
<td>Sleep stage characterization, Stanford Sleepiness Scale.</td>
<td>Higher sleepiness pre-sleep predicted REM sleep in subsequent sleep interval. Greater REM sleep predicted greater sleep reductions. SWS did not diminish subjective sleepiness.</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1978 Moses et al.</td>
<td>Prospective laboratory study</td>
<td>n = 38</td>
<td>18-22</td>
<td>60-min sleep, 160 min wake for 40 h (n = 8). Compared with sleep deprivation groups completing 60-min exercise or non-sleep bedrest.</td>
<td>Sleep stage characterization, oral temperature, Stanford Sleepiness Scale, Wilkinson Auditory Vigilance Test, Wilkinson Addition Test</td>
<td>Minimum oral temperature associated with maximum nap sleep time, errors in vigilance and sleepiness. Naps with the most sleep were followed by the highest sleepiness ratings and the worst performance.</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1981 Lavie &amp; Scherson</td>
<td>Prospective laboratory study</td>
<td>n = 9</td>
<td>20-25</td>
<td>15 min wake, 5 min sleep for 12 h</td>
<td>Sleep stage characterization</td>
<td>Only two participants achieved REM sleep. Stage 1 sleep showed 90-min rhythmicity. Stage 2 was prevalent in the mid-afternoon. After night of sleep: Increased nocturnal sleepiness in morning types. Increased nocturnal sleepiness in evening types 2 h later than morning types. Sleep Stage 2 rose earlier and steeper in morning types.</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1989 Lavie &amp; Segal</td>
<td>Prospective laboratory study</td>
<td>n = 6</td>
<td>23-26</td>
<td>Two ultrashort 7/13 min sleep-wake protocols. Attempting sleep: one adaptation night with 8-h sleep, then 7-min sleep/13-min wake for 24 h. Resisting sleep: 24-h sleep deprivation, then 7-min resisting sleep/13-min wake for 24 h.</td>
<td>24 h structure of sleep propensity comparing chronotypes</td>
<td>Increased nocturnal sleepiness in morning types. Increased nocturnal sleepiness in evening types 2 h later than morning types. Sleep Stage 2 rose earlier and steeper in morning types. Morning types had 45% more sleep per trial and twice as much Stage 2 sleep per trial. One dropout after attempting sleep protocol. After sleep deprivation: Morning types had bimodal distribution of sleepiness. Evening types had no discernible afternoon sleepiness and a less pronounced nocturnal peak. Evening types achieved more sleep in the morning (1100-1200 h). Morning types had distinct sleep gates, while evening types did not. Sailors with shortest mean sleep episodes (20 min-1 h) had best performance. Race performance was significantly worse for sleep episodes &gt;2 h. Cumulative sleep increased, sleep divided (sometimes) into 2 episodes with 1-3 h of wake between them. Melatonin secretion expanded. The rising phase of drowsiness expanded.</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1989 Stampi</td>
<td>Prospective Field Study</td>
<td>n = 99</td>
<td></td>
<td>Three extended yacht races.</td>
<td>Total sleep time, duration of sleep episodes, race performance</td>
<td>Sailors with shortest mean sleep episodes (20 min-1 h) had best performance. Race performance was significantly worse for sleep episodes &gt;2 h. Cumulative sleep increased, sleep divided (sometimes) into 2 episodes with 1-3 h of wake between them. Melatonin secretion expanded. The rising phase of drowsiness expanded.</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1992 Wehr</td>
<td>Prospective laboratory study</td>
<td>n = 7</td>
<td></td>
<td>4-week exposure to 10 h light, 14 h darkness</td>
<td>Sleep, sleepiness, melatonin secretion</td>
<td>(continued on next page)</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Study design</td>
<td>Sample size</td>
<td>Age (y)</td>
<td>Exposure</td>
<td>Outcome(s)</td>
<td>Major findings</td>
<td>Bias rating</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995 Bonnet &amp; Arand&lt;sup&gt;35&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Prospective laboratory study</td>
<td>n = 12 per group</td>
<td>18-30</td>
<td>4 h continuous sleep before 24 h wake vs. 4 x 1 h naps during 24-h shift</td>
<td>Sleep stages, performance, mood.</td>
<td>Relative to continuous 4-h, the 4 x 1-h group had more Stage 3 and 4 sleep and fewer awakenings. Overall performance was similar, but time of day effects were stronger for the 4 x 1 h group, with better performance in the late afternoon and worse performance in the early morning.</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998 Porcu et al.&lt;sup&gt;96&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Prospective field study</td>
<td>n = 9</td>
<td>19.7 ± 1.1</td>
<td>2 h activity and 4 h rest repeated over one 24-h interval</td>
<td>Sleep stages, microsleeps</td>
<td>Linear increase of Stage 2 and REM sleep throughout the 4 cycles, Stage 1 sleep was quadratic, with increased Stage 1 in the last cycle. Wake increased from 2nd to 3rd cycle and decreased in the 4th cycle. SWS most prominent in 2nd and 3rd cycle. Sleep latency decreased across cycles. Few microsleeps observed during activity (&lt; 15% of epochs).</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005 Buysse et al.&lt;sup&gt;31&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Prospective laboratory study</td>
<td>n = 17 older, n = 19 younger</td>
<td>76.3 ± 5, 23.2 ± 3</td>
<td>60 h on a 90-min sleep-wake protocol: 30 min sleep, 60 min wakefulness</td>
<td>Mood, Vigor, Psychomotor Vigilance Task</td>
<td>Older adults had smaller circadian variation of sleep propensity compared to younger adults. Wakefulness and performance rhythms showed increased circadian variation amongst older adults. Young adults got more sleep (18 min) compared to older adults (14 min). Sleep latency was lower among younger adults, and they had fewer awakenings. Sleep efficiency was 66% in the younger group and 53% in the older. Young adults reported more vigor, faster response times, and had more correct responses.</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007 Kripke et al.&lt;sup&gt;37&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Prospective laboratory study</td>
<td>n = 50, n = 56</td>
<td>18-31 mean 23, 59-75 mean 67</td>
<td>90-min protocol of 30 min bed, 60 min wake. After 30 h baseline, administered 3 x 3 h bright light at one of 8 times for three consecutive days.</td>
<td>Circadian phase shift (primary aim), depression scores before, during, and after experiment.</td>
<td>Increased depression from home rating to last day in laboratory.</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010 Kline et al.&lt;sup&gt;43&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Prospective laboratory study</td>
<td>n = 25</td>
<td>19.6 ± 1.0 [SEM]</td>
<td>1 h sleep in dark, 2 h wake in dim light, repeated for 50-55 h</td>
<td>Psychomotor Vigilance Task, mood, sleep, and sleepiness</td>
<td>Average 24-h sleep duration 5.1 h, sleep efficiency 65%, acrophase 0630 h. Performance, mood, sleepiness showed robust circadian variation. Mood deteriorated from day 1 to day 2. Core body temperature peak and trough values and clock times not different from controls. Core body temperature rhythm biphasic in monks and nuns.</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011 Arnulf et al.&lt;sup&gt;30,#&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Prospective (observational) field study</td>
<td>n = 10 monks/nuns, n = 10 controls</td>
<td>18-67 (53.0 ± 6.7 for monks)</td>
<td>Monks and nuns maintaining split-sleep night vs controls. First rest period 1930 h, wake at 2345 h, second sleep 230 h</td>
<td>Interviews, 48 h core body temperature, 1 week sleep diaries and actigraphy</td>
<td>Mood and temperature distorted vs controls.</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(continued on next page)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Sample size</th>
<th>Age (y)</th>
<th>Exposure</th>
<th>Outcome(s)</th>
<th>Major findings</th>
<th>Bias rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2015 Neu et al.</td>
<td>Prospective laboratory study</td>
<td>n = 18</td>
<td>26.2 ± 4.7</td>
<td>3 h early sleep (2330-23 h) and 3 h late sleep (4:00-730 h), spaced by 2 h sustained wakefulness</td>
<td>Sleep EEG power spectra, psychomotor vigilance task, subjective sleepiness</td>
<td>Similar sleep efficiency and total sleep time but more wake after sleep onset in first sleep. Expected phasic NREM and REM sleep predominances. Sleepiness was stable after both sleeps, psychomotor vigilance task decreased after the second sleep.</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017 Roach et al.</td>
<td>Between-groups</td>
<td>n = 29</td>
<td>22.5 ± 2.2</td>
<td>22.6 ± 2.9</td>
<td>Forced desynchrony with activity: rest ratio of 2:1. Consolidated sleep with one sleep-wake cycle every 28 h. Sleep deprivation: 40 h wakefulness. Split condition with one sleep-wake cycle every 14 h.</td>
<td>Sleep (polysomnography)</td>
<td>Split sleep condition was associated with lower sleep quality, longer sleep onset latency, more arousals, greater Stage 1 sleep, lower % of wake after sleep onset, and higher % of slow wave sleep.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018 Maire et al.</td>
<td>Prospective laboratory crossover study</td>
<td>n = 31</td>
<td>24.8 ± 3.3</td>
<td>Naps: 8-h sleep. Cycles of 160-min wake, 80-min sleep, for 56 h.</td>
<td>Sleep, sleepiness, vigilance, cortical activity.</td>
<td>Compared to total sleep deprivation, sleepiness and reaction time was improved for those who napped.</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020 Al-Abri et al.</td>
<td>Prospective cross-sectional (observational) study</td>
<td>n = 405</td>
<td>32.8 ± 11.5</td>
<td>One week of actigraphic measurement of sleep. Categorized as monophasic, biphasic (post-dawn), biphasic (afternoon siesta), polyphasic (3 sleep periods/24 h).</td>
<td>Epworth Sleepiness Scale (ESS) and Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI)</td>
<td>Polyphasic sleep (3 sleep periods/24 h) was associated with higher ESS compared to other sleep patterns. No difference in sleep quality. No difference in night sleep duration. Polyphasic sleep pattern had higher total 24-h day sleep duration.</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Indicates studies with a control group of consolidated sleep.
hours). Therefore, extrapolating the findings relating to sleep duration and mortality from short sleep duration induced by polyphasic sleep schedules would predict an increased risk of premature death rather than any improvement in life expectancy.

The negative consequences of sleep at adverse circadian phases

Sleep on a polyphasic schedule also results in sleep outside of the temporal windows of increased sleep propensity driven by the circadian clock; such sleep is lower quality, fragmented, and more difficult to initiate.29,33 Thus, the total duration of the actual sleep obtained is often much shorter than the time allotted for sleep.7,8 Sleep and wakefulness at adverse circadian phases also results in circadian misalignment, in which the light-dark cycle and endogenous circadian rhythms are out of phase with each other.92 Circadian misalignment has been associated with adverse effects on metabolism, the cardiovascular system, mood and decision-making, and increased risk of cancer.83,84 In addition, many hormonal rhythms and other biological processes are altered by sleep. For these biological functions to occur optimally, sleep and circadian rhythms must be aligned. For example, secretion of growth hormone, cortisol, leptin, ghrelin, and other key regulators are adversely affected by misalignment of the circadian system.85-87 The timing of REM sleep is also modulated by the circadian system.8 The REM sleep deficiency often observed in studies of polyphasic sleep8,44,51 is likely attributable to circadian misalignment. REM sleep is important for brain development, memory consolidation, problem solving, creativity, and may also serve to prepare the central nervous system for wakefulness.29,92 One rationale for some polyphasic sleep schedules assumes that scheduling sleep episodes to occur every 90 minutes will somehow entrain the REM/Non-REM sleep cycle. As detailed above, this assumption is not correct.8 Finally, interrupted or fragmented sleep in general is also associated with impaired learning and memory.1,80,91

In summary, while the direct evidence evaluating polyphasic sleep schedules is limited, an extensive body of literature demonstrates the adverse effects of key features of such schedules. Evaluations of the long-term impact of polyphasic sleep strategies are unlikely to materialize since such experiments would have significant ethical concerns.

Limitations

While the original review criteria for finding relevant studies was not targeted specifically to identify studies of polyphasic sleep, we believe the original review criteria focus on sleep timing variability was sufficiently sensitive to identify relevant literature for this project. Our search terms are included in Appendix A. We focused on evidence supporting or refuting PSS claims, but there may be other individuals or groups with perspectives that are not directly addressed in this argument. We are also limited by our reliance on English language original articles in peer-reviewed outlets. The conclusions drawn are limited to individuals over 17 years, since infants and young children, who do have polyphasic sleep patterns, differ from adults in multiple relevant areas of physiology (eg, development, hormonal secretion, circadian rhythmicity). In addition, we did not identify any studies where polyphasic sleep was implemented with preservation of normal sleep duration. None of the polyphasic sleep schedules of which we are aware maintain the recommended minimum of 7 hours of sleep per 24 hours. In fact, it is the stated goal of the polyphasic sleep advocates to significantly increase the duration of wakefulness by distributing sleep in a polyphasic schedule. Thus, we are unable to explicitly identify the separate impacts of fragmenting sleep and limiting sleep duration in a polyphasic sleep schedule.

Conclusions

The consensus panel unanimously agrees:

1. The claims of benefits from polyphasic sleep schedules are not supported by scientific evidence.
2. Polyphasic sleep schedules and the sleep deficiency inherent in the schedules that are most highly promoted in popular culture have been associated with adverse physical and mental health, as well as with decreased performance.
3. Striving to adopt a schedule that significantly reduces the amount of sleep per 24 hours and/or fragments sleep into multiple episodes throughout the 24-hour day can have significant adverse consequences for daytime performance, mood and health; and is clearly not recommended.
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